

1 DELEGATE BOYER: The Chairman has correctly
2 identified and interpreted that sentence. This does not
3 intend to completely prohibit any further statutory legis-
4 lation of a declaration. This would only eliminate the
5 requirement for any additional oath or affirmation, as
6 opposed to a declaration.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for purposes
8 of clarification?

9 (There was no response.)

10 If not, we will proceed to a consideration of
11 the Committee Recommendation GP-2

12 In further answer to Delegate Rybczynski's ques-
13 tion of a few moments ago, I have had handed to me just
14 now, sent for, a copy of the provisions of the Constitu-
15 tions of 1776, 1851, 1864 and 1867 with respect to oath.
16 That is, just the section which requires an oath. A very
17 quick look at each one of them indicates that none in the
18 oath section has made reference to a Deity. I would be
19 glad to send this to you, Delegate Rybczynski, so you may
20 look at it more carefully.

21 The question arises on the approval of Committee