

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Bennett?

2 DELEGATE BENNETT: Would the Chairman of the  
3 Committee be good enough to explain the significance of the  
4 decision of the Supreme Court today, Whitehill versus  
5 Elkins, upon this situation? Does the majority opinion  
6 forever lay to rest the Ober oath?

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Before the Chairman answers that  
8 question, let me state simply so that the official trans-  
9 cript will show it, that the Supreme Court of the United  
10 States this morning handed down its opinion in the case  
11 of Whitehill versus Elkins, No. 25, October Term, 1967.  
12 The majority opinion delivered by Mr. Justice Douglas and  
13 the minority opinion delivered by Mr. Justice Harlan, with  
14 whom Mr. Justice Stewart and Mr. Justice White concurred,  
15 have been reproduced, and copies are on the desk of each  
16 delegate. The opinion and suit dealt with the requirement  
17 that a teacher at the University of Maryland take an oath  
18 required by the Maryland statute, commonly referred to as  
19 the Ober Act.

20 Delegate Boyer has had a copy of this opinion  
21 for somewhat longer than the remaining delegates, and he