Comptroller, Mr. Gordy, to test it out. When that case got to the Court of Appeals, the Court of Appeals of Maryland relied upon the Supreme Court case and ruled that it was a diminution of the judge's salary in violation of his constitutional rights. Whereupon the people rose up and said wherefore is he any different from the rest of us? They passed this constitutional amendment which I just read and said that it was allright to apply this tax literally to everybody who is in the proper classification.

Thereafter, as sometimes happens, the Supreme Court in a later decision ruled that what the Maryland amendment said was really law anyway in the case of O'Malley versus Woodrough in 307 US 277 and they expressly overruled the earlier case of Evans versus Gore.

not reducing a judge's salary or any other fixed salary of a state official by this kind of tax. You don't need a constitutional provision to say that this is so because this is the law. It is applicable to everybody and since the Maryland Court of Appeals had relied on this case, which the Supreme Court later overruled, we reached