

1 reading we would have to approach each individual section
2 of the entire Constitution by a majority of the Delegates.

3 Rule 59 was drafted at a time when there was
4 some uncertainty in our minds as to what the enabling act
5 meant, whether it required approval of the majority of
6 the delegates of any Article or division of the Constitution.

7 We now have in hand, and there was previously dis-
8 tributed to you an opinion of the Attorney General dated
9 December 26, 1967, in which it makes it perfectly clear
10 that the majority of the delegates is required for the
11 approval of each article of the Constitution, and that
12 the legislature apparently used the word "division" con-
13 templating the possibility that we could use some other
14 term, other than an article.

15 In any event, his opinion is perfectly clear
16 that the requirements of the enabling act would be satisfied
17 if each article of the proposed new Constitution were
18 approved by a majority of the delegates; that is, 72.
19 He specifically points out that our Rule 59 imposes a
20 requirement that is not in the enabling act and which
21 goes beyond the enabling act.