1

least with reasonably short amendments. I suppose we will have longer ones later on.

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

In that connection, might I transmit to you what one of the members of the RulesCommittee suggested would be a good thing, that anybody who is contemplating an amendment to a committee report, that he first consult with the chairman of the committee to find out if his particular amendment was dealt with, the issue was dealt with in the committee, if it was rejected, why it was rejected, et cetera. This might cut down on amendments because after all, we will have at least three or four days before a committee report is taken up once it reaches the Committee of the Whole. I think this would be a salutary practice and might minimize or cut down the number of amendments otherwise offered. I plead guilty here that a number of amendments offered the other day just as well could have been prepared prior to the session.

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: I don't have any rules

Your second question, Mr. Wheatley, was in

connection with the second reading procedure. I wasn't

quite sure what your question was.