

1 other members of the Committee didn't read the rules that  
2 way at all, we all agreed. I think it was unanimous,  
3 possibly one slight dissent, not really a dissent. I  
4 think it was practically unanimous. We all agreed that  
5 the rule should make it perfectly clear that reports of  
6 the substantive committees of this Convention on matters  
7 pertaining to amendment, possible amendment of our Con-  
8 stitution, should be in writing and should be giving  
9 reasons.

10 Then we proposed an amendment to Rule 28(a).  
11 Rule 28(a), again, is a matter of some substance. It  
12 reads, Except upon the affirmative vote of a majority  
13 of the delegates present and voting, the Committee of the  
14 Whole will receive no final report of a substantive com-  
15 mittee after November 17, 1967.

16 This rule is a reflection of the fact that we  
17 operate under statutory deadline. It has in precedence,  
18 the most recent precedence we were able to find is the  
19 New York Constitutional Convention which has imposed upon  
20 its delegates a similar deadline. It is slightly dif-  
21 ferent language than the New York proposal as opposed to