

1 discretion as to whether or not the destruction has
2 occurred. It seems to me the better language would be to
3 permit the Governor to act only if the destruction has in
4 fact occurred, and not where there is any uncertainty as
5 to whether or not it has occurred.

6 THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Gallagher, in view
7 of the comments do you stand on your language?

8 DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman, I do not
9 stand on the language. I think the same object can be
10 accomplished both in Mr. Hardwicke's verb and my
11 verb. I am happy to give him the benefit of the verb.

12 THE CHAIRMAN: Will the seconder accept the
13 modification to strike the words "may have" in line four
14 and substitute the word "have"?

15 DELEGATE BARRICK: Yes, I accept it. That
16 was my original wording.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: The motion as modified, the
18 words "may have" in line four are stricken and the
19 word "have" is inserted.

20 Is there any further discussion? Are you ready
21 for the question?