

1 that we had but, in substance, there was no difference
2 between them. I still do not think that there is any
3 substantive difference between them.

4 THE PRESIDENT: Now, Delegate Sherbow, so that
5 the question will be abundantly clear before Delegate
6 Gleason answers the question, let me ask you two questions:
7 In the language as originally written as now included in
8 the Barrick amendment, it is my understanding that it is the
9 position of the Chair that the General Assembly could
10 prescribe by law criteria for determining the class other
11 than agricultural use. Is that correct, sir?

12 DELEGATE SHERBOW: Yes, sir.

13 THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Sherbow?

14 DELEGATE SHERBOW: Yes, sir.

15 THE PRESIDENT: And it is also the committee's
16 position that if the language is as submitted by the
17 Committee on Style, the General Assembly in defining
18 agricultural property could define that as property devoted
19 to agricultural use and could also provide other criteria,
20 is that correct, sir?

21 DELEGATE SHERBOW: Yes, sir.