

1 Delegate Clagett.

2 DELEGATE CLAGETT: Mr. Chairman, I am somewhat
3 disturbed by the colloquy which took place with respect to
4 Section 6.03 and the answers given by Chairman Sherbow.
5 I had understood that with respect to this section the change
6 of phraseology to "agricultural property" as compared to
7 "devoted to agricultural use" was not a change of substance,
8 but the colloquy would indicate that it was in fact a
9 change of substance.

10 THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Clagett, I think you
11 misinterpreted the colloquy. I think the colloquy was
12 reasonably clear that what the chairman of the Committee on
13 Style was saying was that as the committee understands the
14 language previously adopted which was not merely the phrase
15 devoted to "agricultural use" but the whole phrase "devoted
16 to agricultural use as prescribed by law", that the
17 colloquy that took place when that was discussed in the
18 Committee of the Whole indicated that that language was
19 intended to confer upon the General Assembly the power to
20 define the exclusion and not limit it solely to the use of
21 the property as agricultural property, and in that context