

1 THE CHAIRMAN:: Any questions?

2 DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: Point of order..

3 THE CHAIRMAN:: State the point.

4 DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: I suggest that the amendment
5 is out of order for two reasons: No. 1, because of the very
6 reason that Delegate Scanlan mentioned. That is a matter
7 of style. I can't imagine any document as important as a
8 constitution being composed by references to other
9 documents, especially when the adoption of this one will put
10 the other one out of business.

11 No. 2, what we will be doing is voting on
12 something which we already have in identical language. It
13 will be a duplicate vote. In other words, by amendment
14 Delegate Scanlan wants us to vote on section 3.17ab,
15 worded exactly the same one, no changes whatsoever. The
16 substance is exactly the same.

17 I suggest that it is out of order.

18 THE CHAIRMAN:: The Chair would rule that the
19 amendment is out of order.

20 Any further questions?

21 Delegate Case.