

1 and Michigan. We felt there were arguments for the
2 twenty-five year mandatory submission.

3 First, that there would be greater trust
4 placed in the properly apportioned and more responsible
5 legislature.

6 Second, we felt that the elimination of the
7 objectionable peculiarity from the Constitution would render
8 the Constitution more stable and decrease the need for
9 revision. One prime example of that, of course, is
10 the United States Federal Constitution.

11 Third, we felt that pressure may be exerted
12 upon the legislature to call for the convention.

13 Fourth, we felt the Constitution should not
14 be fundamentally revised to reflect changes in political
15 power over a shorter period of time.

16 Fifth, we felt the proper implimentation of
17 the Constitution does require several years preparation
18 and enactment.

19 Sixth, we felt that the liberal amendment
20 policy we just adopted further decreases the need for an
21 over-all revision on an earlier time basis.