

1 suspect as far as the general public is concerned. I
2 think that the public is going to be asked to take a
3 Constitution on balance. I am going to ask them to do
4 that. I think they should have through the constitutional
5 initiative process the right to change that part of the
6 Constitution that they may disagree with, to do it
7 directly and not through their representatives.

8 I think that the situation or the criteria
9 set up by Dr. Winslow make this very restrictive and I
10 don't see that this is very similar to the indirect
11 initiative that I was a co-sponsor on earlier in the
12 session.

13 For those reasons I would appreciate your
14 supporting this amendment.

15 THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Cardin.

16 DELEGATE CARDIN: Mr. Chairman, I would like
17 to speak against this even though I am in favor of the
18 idea. The more I have studied it the more I realize
19 there are pit-falls.

20 First I would suggest that if this were so
21 great and so important a need the possibility of forty-six