

1 and that may have been a wise rejection. We are now
2 talking about the basic organic structure of our government,
3 and I think it behooves us to recognize that the people
4 retain that control.

5 I think we are representing that very philosophy
6 here today, and we should continue it by accepting the
7 amendment suggested by Dr. Winslow.

8 THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Pascal.

9 DELEGATE PASCAL: The same proponents I see for
10 this indirect consitutional initiative were almost the
11 same on the legislative initiative, and some of the reasons
12 we rejected it in Committee were these.

13 The law would not have the opportunity to come
14 before the General Assembly for debate, and the General
15 Assembly would be a total representation.

16 Dr. Winslow proposes it come from four counties
17 of 23,000 signatures apiece, and I suggest this is not
18 total representation which Provision would get in the General
19 Assembly.

20 Now, another reason for the Committee's rejection
21 of indirect initiative in our Committee was this, that