

1 three states recognize the State Board of Education. Yet
2 the minority in their proposed Section 804 do refer to
3 governing boards of higher learning and later in the sec-
4 tion mention such as the types of acts which these boards
5 can provide.

6 Again, the executive article which is given ten-
7 tative approval by the majority of the people here today
8 in its report made the specific provision for a board in
9 the educational area. It apparently had anticipated some
10 action in this field by the committee referring to
11 education.

12 We contend that after 97 years in being, the
13 naming of the State Board of Education and its superin-
14 tendent selected by that board is complementary in
15 nature with those sections providing for such exceptions
16 in the executive article. Certainly it is restrictive
17 only in the event that one is not committed to the lay
18 board concept for the governing of educational institu-
19 tions. It may well be desirable at this point to discuss
20 what the concern is about for a lay board of education.
21 Frankly, I was never aware of the subtleties of this