

1 DELEGATE PULLEN: It was suggested to me that
2 my question was not understood, and from the answer apparently
3 it wasn't.

4 DELEGATE WILLONER: The mere fact that public funds
5 are given to a private institution would not be a reason
6 for it. That is what you meant?

7 DELEGATE PULLEN: No, when MIT has 83 percent of
8 its budget from the federal government and Hopkins has
9 60 percent of its budget from the federal government, and
10 practically every educational institution in this country
11 has a large percentage of money, and when Hopkins and other
12 institutions get this kind of money, it seems to me it is
13 quite an appropriate question.

14 DELEGATE WILLONER: I agree with you. This
15 provision does not cover situations like Johns Hopkins or
16 MIT.

17 . It goes to governmental agencies.

18 DELEGATE JAMES: Delegate Jett.

19 DELEGATE JETT: Would this apply to adoption
20 records?

21 DELEGATE WILLONER: They are protected by statutes.