

1 Court of Appeals that held that without legislation or
2 without constitutional provision, that they could not
3 imprison persons for contempt of court, for failure to
4 pay alimony in support of children.

5 For that reason, I imagine that this provision
6 was presented to the people in 1950 and again in 1962 and
7 it is of rather recent origin and has been recently approved
8 by the people.

9 Now if you would delete this, if you would want to
10 delete this, then you would have to make certain that
11 transitory provisions were written in which gave the right
12 to imprison for failure to comply, a man's failure to comply
13 with his duty to society, namely, to support his wife and
14 children.

15 Are there any questions on that?

16 THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions?

17 Delegate Moser.

18 DELEGATE MOSER: Delegate Weidemeyer, did
19 you all consider the problem of imprisonment for non-
20 support of an indigent parent?

21 I think that that is an imprisonable offense.