

Revising Clerk—John McGarigle.  
Lamp-lighter—John T. Wright.  
Hall-keeper—John Sullivan.  
Pages—Caleb Parker, Charles O. Isaacs,  
John A. J. Metcalf and Melancthon Dodson.

ADDITIONAL PAGE.

Mr. GALLOWAY submitted the following:  
*Ordered*, That Harold Wingate be appointed by the President an additional page to this Convention.

Mr. SANDS. I would like to enquire what necessity there is for an additional page. If there is any such necessity I will vote the additional page; if not, then I shall vote against it. I only ask for information upon the subject.

Mr. GALLOWAY. From what I have seen during the sessions of this Convention, I am of the opinion that an additional page is required, for I have frequently seen members compelled to wait for some time before a page could reach them to take an order or other paper to the Secretary's table. This young man has been here during the whole session of the Convention, and has been very prompt in attending to the business of the Convention. Taking these things into consideration, I have offered this order, and hope the Convention will adopt it.

Mr. BERRY of Baltimore county. The objection I have to the order as offered is that the gentleman from Harford (Mr Galloway) names the party to be appointed. I think the appointment should be left to the President. If the order is so changed, I have no doubt the gentleman can secure his object by signifying his wishes to the President.

Mr. GALLOWAY. I have no objection to so modify the order, as to leave the appointment to the President, and will do so.

Mr. HEBB. My objection to the order is that I do not think we need an additional page. Certainly four pages are sufficient for a body like this.

The question occurred upon the order as modified, and being taken, upon a division, the order was rejected—yeas, 25; nays, 37.

On motion of Mr. CUSHING,

*It was ordered* to be entered on the Journal that Mr. Stirling, of Baltimore city, and Mr. Ridgely, of Baltimore county, are detained from their seats in the Convention by sickness.

Mr. PURNELL submitted the following order:  
*Ordered*, That the Committee to consider and report respecting the Elective Franchise, inquire into the expediency of inserting into the Constitution a provision allowing any qualified voter, who may happen to be in any other county situated in the district in which he resides at the time of an election, the privilege of voting for any district officer; and that all such qualified electors be permitted to vote anywhere in the State for State officers.

Mr. PURNELL moved that this order be re-

ferred to the Committee on the Elective Franchise—agreed to.

Mr. VALLIANT. The Committee on Reporting and Printing have a report to make upon several subjects which have been referred to them. There are three or four orders connected with the report, which will require the action of the Convention. I do not know whether or not this is the proper time to submit the report.

Mr. PRESIDENT. The unfinished business—the report of the Committee on Rules—is now in order.

RULES OF THE CONVENTION.

The consideration of the Report of the Committee on Rules was resumed, and Rules 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42 were read, and no amendments offered.

Rule 43, as reported was then read.

Mr. STOCKBRIDGE. I would like to make an inquiry in reference to this rule. It now reads: "On the final passage of any subject matter" &c. Of course many of the articles which will be reported now by the different Committees may contain more than one subject matter. For instance, the report of the Committee on the Judiciary, it is fair to suppose, will have a provision in relation to the Court of Appeals, another for the Orphan's Court, and so on in reference to other Courts; each being a distinct subject matter. The question I wish to propound to the Committee on Rules is, whether it is intended to take the yeas and nays upon the report of any committee as a whole, or upon each of the subject matters contained in the report.

Mr. KENNARD. My understanding of the matter is that the yeas and nays are to be taken upon any subject matter that comes before the Convention, whether it be an article, proposition, or resolution. It does not follow that it shall embrace an entire report.

Mr. CLARKE. I will state in reference to this rule that, from the discussion in the committee, my idea was this, and I supposed the rule would be so drawn: that reports of committees when brought in should be divided into different heads. For instance, the report of the Committee on the Judiciary would be divided into the heads of "Court of Appeals," "Circuit Court," &c., each having a separate distinct head, and each head embracing but one subject matter, the vote being taken by yeas and nays upon the adoption of each subject matter.

Mr. STOCKBRIDGE. My purpose in calling attention to this matter was to have the rule so explicit that hereafter there may be no misunderstanding as to what is meant by it. The President, of course, must understand at what point in the consideration of a report the yeas and nays are to be called. We all know how it is in the case of a bill before the Legislature; the yeas and nays are always taken upon the bill as a whole; never in any other place as a mat-