

Galloway, Hatch, Hebb, Hoffman, Hopkins, Hopper, Jones, of Cecil, Keefer, Kennard, King, Larsh, Mace, McComas, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Noble, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Robinette, Russell, Sands, Schley, Scott, Smith, of Carroll, Smith, of Worcester, Sneary, Stirling, Stockbridge, Swope, Sykes, Thomas, Todd, Valliant, Wickard, Wooden—53.

So the amendment was rejected.

Mr. BARRON moved the previous question.

Mr. DAVIS, of Charles, raised the question of order.

The PRESIDENT. If it had not been for the order adopted by the Convention on the 14th instant, this motion would be in order. But the House seems to have determined by that order to admit the further privilege of offering amendments, accompanied with the privilege granted to each speaker of five minutes for the purpose of explaining his amendment. The Chair can only understand that order as a suspension of the standing rule of the House.

Mr. KENNARD. Is it in the power of the Convention by such an order to suspend the standing rule of the House?

The PRESIDENT. It is not usual. Under the rules they can only be changed upon giving one day's notice. But it is in the power of the House at any time to determine what it will do with any particular subject; and the President must abide the determination of the House until it is reversed. Whether it operates as a suspension of the rule or not, was for the House to determine, and not for the President. Until the order is reconsidered it stands as the judgment of the House.

Mr. SANDS moved to reconsider the order adopted on the 14th instant respecting final action upon the 4th article of the Declaration of Rights

Mr. CLARKE. I think there are only three or four amendments to be offered. Probably we can finish them all in less time than we could reconsider.

Mr. STIRLING. I hope the order will not be reconsidered. If it were the intention to consume the time, there would be a contest upon that. I understand that there are only three or four amendments to be offered, and that there will be no debate, and then the question can be taken.

Mr. BELT. I desire to submit a proposition embodying what I regard as the naked truth upon this subject. I do not wish the yeas and nays upon it; but only to place it on the record.

Mr. JONES, of Somerset, demanded the yeas and nays on the motion to reconsider; and they were ordered.

The question being taken, the result was—yeas 49, nays 35—as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Goldsborough, President; Abbott, Annan, Baker, Barron, Brooks, Cun-

ningham, Cushing, Daniel, Davis, of Washington, Dellinger, Earle, Ecker, Farrow, Galloway, Hatch, Hebb, Hoffman, Hopkins, Hopper, Jones, of Cecil, Keefer, King, Larsh, McComas, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Noble, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Robinette, Russell, Sands, Schley, Scott, Smith, of Carroll, Smith, of Worcester, Sneary, Swope, Sykes, Thomas, Todd, Valliant, Wickard, Wooden—49.

Nays—Messrs. Belt, Berry, of P. George's, Billingsley, Blackiston, Bond, Briscoe, Brown, Chambers, Clarke, Crawford, Dail, Davis, of Charles, Duvall, Edelen, Gale, Harwood, Henkle, Hollyday, Horsey, Jones, of Somerset, Kennard, Lansdale, Lee, Mace, Marbury, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan, Parran, Peter, Smith, of Dorchester, Stirling, Stockbridge, Turner, Wilmer—35.

So the vote adopting the order was reconsidered.

The question recurring upon the adoption of the order,

Mr. HEBB moved to lay the order upon the table.

Mr. MILLER demanded the yeas and nays, and they were ordered.

The question being taken, the result was—yeas 52, nays 32—as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Goldsborough, President; Abbott, Annan, Baker, Barron, Brooks, Cunningham, Cushing, Daniel, Davis, of Washington, Dellinger, Earle, Ecker, Farrow, Galloway, Hatch, Hebb, Hoffman, Hopkins, Hopper, Jones, of Cecil, Keefer, Kennard, King, Larsh, Mace, McComas, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Noble, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Robinette, Russell, Sands, Schley, Scott, Smith, of Carroll, Smith, of Worcester, Sneary, Stirling, Swope, Sykes, Thomas, Todd, Valliant, Wickard, Wooden—52.

Nays—Messrs. Belt, Berry, of P. George's, Billingsley, Blackiston, Bond, Briscoe, Brown, Chambers, Clarke, Dail, Davis, of Charles, Dennis, Duvall, Edelen, Gale, Harwood, Henkle, Hollyday, Horsey, Jones, of Somerset, Lansdale, Lee, Marbury, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan, Parran, Peter, Smith, of Dorchester, Stockbridge, Turner, Wilmer—32.

So the order limiting the debate was laid upon the table.

Mr. BARRON moved the previous question; and the motion was sustained.

Mr. DAVIS, of Charles, moved that the Convention adjourn.

The motion was rejected.

The main question was then put, upon the adoption of the 4th article of the Declaration of Rights, as reported from the committee.

Mr. BERRY, of Prince George's, demanded the yeas and nays, and they were ordered.

The question being taken, the result was—yeas 53, nays 32—as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Goldsborough, President;