

member from each county and one from the city of Baltimore."

That committee, I think, should be a committee of twenty-two; one from each county and one from the city of Baltimore. I will also move to add to the provision for the fifth committee, that upon the Judiciary system of the State, the words:

—"and said committee shall consist of thirteen members."

The question was stated upon the first of said amendments, that the Committee upon Representation should consist of one member from each county and one from the city of Baltimore.

Mr. BELT demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and being taken, resulted—yeas 33, nays 48—as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Goldsborough, President, Harwood, Bond, Henkle, Berry of Baltimore county, Ridgely, King, Mace, Larsh, Smith of Carroll, Briscoe, Turner, Parran, Todd, Carter, Noble, Smith of Dorchester, Hodson, Hopkins, Chambers, Hollyday, Clarke, Berry of Prince George's, Belt, Marbury, Lee, Brown, Wilmer, Morgan, Jones of Somerset, Crawford, Gale, Horsey—33.

Nays—Messrs. Greene, Hebb, Thruston, Wickard, Robinette, Hatch, Kennard, Brooks, Stockbridge, Stirling, Barron, Daniel, Abbott, Cushing, Audoun, Hoffman, Parker, Ecker, Swope, Wooden, Jones of Cecil, Earle, Scott, Pugh, Keefer, Schley, Markey, Annan, Baker, Cunningham, Schlessler, Galloway, McComas, Hopper, Russell, Sands, Valliant, Mullikin, Dellinger, Nyman, Negley, Mayhugh, Davis, Snear, Smith of Worcester, Purnell, Farrow, Murray—48.

The amendment, therefore, was rejected.

Mr. BELT withdrew the second amendment offered by him.

The question recurred upon the adoption of the order as offered by Mr. Stockbridge and accepted by Mr. Earle.

The order was adopted.

APPOINTMENT OF CHAPLAINS.

Mr. PURNELL offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That a committee of three be appointed to wait upon the reverend clergy resident in this city, requesting them to make an arrangement among themselves by which one of their number alternately every day will attend this Convention and open its sessions by prayer.

Mr. STIRLING. I do not think it is requisite that we should have all the clergymen in Annapolis. I do not know who they are or what they may be in religion or anything else; but I do know some of them; and offer this as a substitute:

"Ordered, That Rev. Mr. Davenport and Rev. Mr. Patterson be appointed Chaplains to the Convention."

Mr. HOFFMAN. I believe there are only three clergymen here. I move to amend by

adding the third one—Rev. Mr. Owen; and Rev. Mr. McNamar; I believe there are four of them.

Mr. SANDS. I think it was stated that there were but three clergymen here; and that the omitted name is that of Mr. Owen.

Mr. STIRLING. I have no objection to Mr. Owen; if it stops there. I do not want it to go any further.

Mr. SANDS. Then I will stop right there.

Mr. EARLE moved to include the name of Rev. Mr. Clem.

Mr. DANIEL. It seems to me that if we had adopted the first order we should have accomplished all we desire, and in the best manner; that is, to appoint a committee to wait upon the several clergymen and ask them to arrange it among themselves. We make invidious distinctions the moment we undertake to select the clergyman from one church in preference to that from another. There are two ministers here of the Methodist Church, and Mr. Clem, also, who I think has no appointment, and is a very worthy man. There are two, and I understand there is some difficulty between the churches. At any rate it would not be right for us to make any distinction between them. The first resolution was exactly copied from the proceedings of the last Convention, and I think that would be the best and the fairest way for us to adopt.

Mr. STIRLING. The proposition which I offer is the mode which has been pursued here certainly at the last session of the Legislature and with that which preceded it. In these times I am not willing to invite any man in here unless I know who he is. I will not vote for a resolution which invites people in here generally. The gentlemen named in my amendment I know, and I think there are plenty. I included only two because I thought two were enough; but I was willing to accept the proposition of the gentleman from Harford so as to include this other gentleman. Beyond that I am not willing to go, and hope the Convention will not.

Mr. CLARKE. I do not know what the fact is, but I think it very probable that there may be some colored clergymen in the city, and I should like to know whether this embraces the colored clergy. To adopt it, might place us in that position.

Mr. SANDS. I take this, the first occasion, to give a direct contradiction of something which has been said about certain classes of people in Maryland. It is a very good occasion, and I accept it as such. In future, on this subject of colored clergy or colored anybody, I want it to be understood that in this respect I am a Radical, that I am for a radical difference between and separation of races. I do not want any colored clergy here; and I do not suppose any gentleman here wishes it. Of course I take the remark as humorous, on the part of the gentleman from Prince George's, (Mr. Clarke.) But I take the op-