Barron, Brooks, Cushing, Hebb, Keefer, Stirling, Wooden—18.

Mullikin,

## NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Goldsborough, P't Baker, Belt, Berry, of Balt. co. Bond, Brown, Carter, Chambers, Crawford, Cunningham, Dail, Daniel, Davis, of Charles, Dent, Duvall,

Farrow,

Galloway,

Gale,

Henkle,
Hodson,
Hoffman,
Hollyday,
Hopper,
Horsey,
Jones, of Cecil,
Jones, of Som.,
King,
Lansdale,
Larsh,
Lee,
Marbury,
Markey,

Mayhugh,

McComas,

Mitchell,

Miller,

Morgan,

Murray, Negley, Nyman, Parker. Peter. Purnell. Ridgely, Russell. Smith, of for., Stockbridge, Swope, Sykes, Todd Turner, Valliant. Wickard,

Wilmer-55.

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the negative.

The question then being on the adoption of said amendment.

Mr. Berry, of Baltimore county, called the previous question,

The question being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question again being on the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Berry, of Baltimore county;

Mr. Stirling demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

## AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.
Goldsborough, P't
Baker,

Galloway, Henkle, Hodson, Miller, Morgan, Mullikin,