The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Greene,	Schley,
Abbott,	Hatch,	Scott,
Annan,	Hebb,	Stockbridge,
Audoun,	Jones, of Cecil,	Sykes,
Brooks,	McComas,	Thomas,
Daniel,	Ridgely,	Todd,
Dellinger,	Russell,	Valliant-22.
Farrow,	Sands,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Dent,	Mullikin,
Goldsborough, P't	Duvall,	Negley,
Baker,	Ecker,	Parker,
Barron,	Galloway,	Purnelĺ,
Belt,	Henkle,	Schlosser,
Briscoe,	Hollyday,	Smith, of Carroll,
Brown,	Horsey,	Smith, of Wor.,
Carter,	Kennard,	Sneary,
Chambers,	King,	Stirling,
Clarke,	Lausdale,	Swope,
Crawford,	Lee,	Turner,
Cunningham,	Marbury,	Wickard,
Cushing,	Miller,	Wooden-40.
Davis, of Wash.,	Morgan,	

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the negative.

The question recurring upon the second branch of the amendment, to wit:

The Commissioners of Revision shall also proceed with all reasonable dispatch, to revise the Code of the State, embodying in it all existing laws not now incorporated therein, omitting all superfluous words and enactments, and all such as have ceased to affect existing rights, condensing the whole into as concise a form as is consistent with a full and clear expression of the law, and suggesting any errors or omissions which may be found to exist therein, and the best mode of rectifying the same.

They shall report the Code so revised, to the General Assembly for its approval, and while the bills so prepared, and the Code so revised by them, shall be under cansideration, shall be entitled to seats upon the floor of the Senate or House of Delegates, and to take part in the discussions thereof, but without the right of voting thereon.