So the question upon its adoption was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Chambers moved to suspend the rules to enable him to submit an amendment:

The question being on the adoption of the motion,

Mr. Chambers demanded the yeas and nays;

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

A FFIRMATIVE.

Davis, of Charles, Lee, Messrs. Billingsley, Dent, Marbury, Parran, Blackiston, Duvall, Peter, Hoffman, Bond, Ridgely, Hollyday, Briscoe, Smith, of Dor., Horsey, Brown, Johnson, Sneary, Chambers. Wilmer-25. Lansdale. Clarke, Crawford, Larsh,

NEGATIVE.

Pugh, Galloway, Messrs. Purnell, Goldsborough, P't Greene, Russell, Hatch, Abbott, Hebb, Schlosser, Annan, Smith, of Carroll, Audoun, Hopkins, Smith, of Wor., Hopper, Baker, Stirling, Keefer, Barron, Stockbridge, Kennard, Brooks, Swope, Carter, Markey, Sykes, Mayhugh, Cunningham, Todd, McComas, Cushing, Valliant, Mullikin, Daniel. Wickard, Davis, of Wash., Negley, Wooden-42. Ecker, Parker,

Farrow,

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the negative.

Mr. Davis, of Charles, moved to open the report to enable him to move to strike out the 2nd section;

Decided in the negative.

The report was then read the third time, and passed by yeas and nays as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Greene, Purnell, Goldsborough, P't Hatch, Ridgely,