

be, shall appoint a person to serve as Constable for the residue of the term ;”

Decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Galloway (seconded by Messrs. Thomas and Markey,) moved to re-consider the vote by which section thirty-seven was adopted ;

The question being on the adoption of the motion,

Mr. Smith, of Carroll demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Duvall,	Negley,
Annan,	Ecker,	Parran,
Audoun,	Galloway,	Ridgely,
Baker,	Hatch,	Sands,
Barron,	Hoffman,	Schlosser,
Billingsley,	Johnson,	Smith, of Carroll,
Briscoe,	Keefer,	Smith, of Dor.,
Brooks,	Kennard,	Swope,
Carter,	King,	Thomas,
Cunningham,	Larsh,	Todd,
Davis, of Charles,	Markey,	Turner,
Davis, of Wash.,	Mayhugh,	Wickard,
Dellinger,	Morgan,	Wooden—38.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Greene,	Nyman,
Goldsborough, Pt.	Hebb,	Parker,
Abbott,	Henkle,	Peter,
Blackiston,	Hollyday,	Pugh,
Bond,	Hopkins,	Purnell,
Brown,	Hopper,	Russell,
Chambers,	Horsey,	Scott,
Clarke,	Lansdale,	Smith, of Wor.
Crawford,	Lee,	Sneary,
Cushing,	Marbury,	Stirling,
Daniel,	McComas,	Stockbridge,
Dent,	Miller,	Sykes,
Earle,	Mullikin,	Valliant,
Farrow,	Murray,	Wilmer—41.

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the negative.