

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Hopper moved to suspend the 53rd rule to enable him to submit an amendment to the 3rd section of the report of the Committee on the Basis of Representation.

The question being on the adoption of the motion;

Mr. Ridgely demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Hoffman,	Ridgely,
Goldsborough, P't	Hollyday,	Sands,
Barron,	Hopkins,	Scott,
Briscoe,	Hopper,	Smith, of Carroll,
Carter,	Kennard,	Smith, of Wor.,
Chambers,	King,	Stirling,
Cunningham,	Larsh,	Stockbridge,
Daniel,	McComas,	Swope,
Earle,	Mullikin,	Sykes,
Ecker,	Parker,	Thomas,
Galloway,	Pugh,	Wickard,
Greene,	Purnell,	Wooden—35.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Davis, of Wash.,	Mayhugh,
Abbott,	Dellinger,	Miller,
Annan,	Dent,	Murray,
Baker,	Duvall,	Negley,
Belt,	Farrow,	Nyman,
Billingsley,	Hebb,	Parran,
Blackiston,	Horsey,	Russell,
Bond,	Keefer,	Schley,
Clarke,	Lee,	Todd,
Cushing,	Markey,	Turner—29.

So the Convention refused to suspend the rule.

Mr. Briscoe moved to suspend the 53rd rule to enable him to submit an amendment to the 3rd section.

Decided in the negative.

Mr. Thomas moved to reconsider the vote by which the amendment submitted by Mr. Hollyday, to increase the representation of Kent county, was adopted.

Mr. Stirling called the previous question ;