

Mr. Daniel submitted the following amendment:

“Strike out section 31 ;”

Decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thomas submitted the following amendment:

Insert as section 31, the following:

“Sec. 31. The Criminal Court of Baltimore city, shall have and exercise all the jurisdiction now held and exercised by the Criminal Court of Baltimore, except in cases of appeals from Commissioners for opening streets, and shall have jurisdiction in all cases of appeals from Justices of the Peace in said city, for the recovery of fines, penalties and forfeitures;”

Decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Abbott submitted the following amendment:

Sec. 32, line two, strike out the words “five hundred.”

Mr. Negley moved to amend by striking out “three thousand five hundred,” and insert “twenty-five hundred;”

The question being on the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Abbott;

Mr. Abbott demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Galloway,	Nyman,
Goldsborough, P't	Greene,	Pugh,
Abbott,	Hebb,	Purnell,
Annan,	Hoffman,	Russell,
Audoun,	Hollyday,	Sands,
Blackiston,	Hopper,	Scott,
Brooks,	Horsey,	Smith, of Wor.,
Chambers,	Lansdale,	Sneary,
Cushing,	Lee,	Stirling,
Daniel,	Markey,	Sykes,
Davis, of Wash.,	Mitchell,	Thomas,
Dellinger,	Mullikin,	Todd,
Ecker,	Murray,	Wilmer—39.
Farrow,		