The question being on the adoption of the amendment to the amendment,

Mr. Swope demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Gale,	Robinette,
Annan,	Hatch,	Russell,
Audoun,	Hopper,	Schlosser,
Brown,	Johnson,	Smith, of Dor.,
Carter,	Keefer,	Sneary,
Cunningham,	Markey,	Swope,
Davis, of Wash.	Mayhugh,	Sykes,
Dellinger,	McComas,	Todd,
Dennis,	Nyman,	Wickard-28.
Ecker,	Pugh,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Greene,	Negley,
Goldsborough, P't	Hebb,	Parker,
Abbott,	Hoffman,	Parran,
Billingsley,	Hollyday,	Peter,
Blackiston,	Hopkins,	Purnell,
Briscoe,	Horsey,	Ridgely,
Chambers,	Kennard,	Sands,
Cushing,	King,	Schley,
Daniel,	Lansdale,	Smith, of Carroll,
Dent,	Larsh,	Stirling,
Duvall,	Mitchell,	Thomas,
Edelen,	Miller,	Thruston,
Farrow,	Morgan,	Wilmer,
Galloway,	Murray,	Wooden—41.

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the negative.

The question recurring upon the amendment submitted by Mr. Thomas:

Mr. Wooden demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Edelen,	Morgan,
Goldsborough, P't	Farrow,	Negley,