

removal is not applied for with any view of delaying such trial, or of evading justice ;”

Decided in the negative.

Mr. Sands submitted the following amendment :

Sec. 10, line two, strike out the word “may,” and insert the word “shall;”

The question being on the adoption of the amendment,

Mr. Todd demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Hopkins,	Nyman,
Billingsley,	Jones, of Som.	Parran,
Blackiston,	King,	Pugh,
Brown,	Lansdale,	Robinette,
Carter,	Lee,	Sands,
Crawford,	Markey,	Smith, of Carroll,
Davis, of Wash.,	Mayhugh,	Smith, of Wor.,
Dent,	McComas,	Stirling,
Edelen,	Mitchell,	Wickard,
Galloway,	Mullikin,	Wooden—3
Hodson,		

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Hopper,	Purnell,
Goldsborough, P't	Keefer,	Russell,
Abbott,	Kennard,	Schley,
Annan,	Marbury,	Schlosser,
Audoun,	Miller,	Stockbridge,
Cunningham,	Murray,	Swope,
Daniel,	Negley,	Thruston,
Earle,	Parker,	Todd—24.
Ecker,		

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Sands submitted the following amendment :

Sec. 10, amend by inserting the words “by affidavit or otherwise,” in line seven, between the words “shall,” and “make;”

The question being on the adoption of the amendment,