The question being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question then being on the amendment submitted by Mr. Sands,

It was decided in the negative.

The question next being on the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Ridgely,

Mr. Sands demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Hopper,	Sands,
Jones, of Cecil,	Scott,
King,	Smith, of Wor.,
Lee,	Stockbridge,
Mace,	Swope,
Pugh,	Thomas,
Purnell,	Wilmer -22 .
Ridgely,	
	King, Lee, Mace, Pugh, Purnell,

Messrs. Goldsborough, P't Hopkins, Abbott, Annan, Audoun, Berry, of P. G., Marwood, Hopkins, Jones, of Som. Keefer, Kennard, Markey,

Billingsley,

Davis, of Charles,

Davis, of Wash.,

Brooks,

Carter,

Dennis,

Edelen,

Ecker,

Russell, Smith, of Dor., Sneary, Mayhugh, Stirling, Sykes, McComas, Thruston, Mitchell, Todd, Miller, Morgan, Valliant, Wickard, Mullikin, Wooden-39. Murray,

Nyman,

Parker,

Robinette,

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the negative.

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the section as amended by Mr. Thruston,

It was decided in the affirmative.