The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

## AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Hebb, Annan, Baker, Keefer, Barron, Blackiston, Briscoe, Daniel. Duvall, Earle, Ecker, Peter, Farrow, Pugh, Galloway, Greene,

Russell, Hopkins, Sands, Scott, Hopper, Smith, of Carroll, Smith, of Wor., Kennard, Lansdale, Sneary, Stirling, Marbury, Morgan, Swope, Murray, Sykes, Turner, Wickard, Wooden-37. Purnell, Robinette,

## NEGATIVE.

Messrs.
Goldsborough, Pt.
Abbott,
Audoun,
Belt,
Brown,
Carter,
Chambers,
Cunningham,
Davis, of Wash.,
Dellinger,
Gale,

Harwood,
Hatch,
Hoffman,
Hollyday,
Horsey,
Johnson,
Markey,
Jones, of Cecil,
Jones, of Som.,
King,
Larsh,

Miller,
Negley,
Nyman,
Parker,
Parran,
Ridgely,
Schley,
Stockbridge,
Valliant,
Wilmer -34.

McComas.

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the affirmative.

The question next being on the adoption of the last clause of the amendment to the amendment, to except the Tide Water Canal Company.

Mr. Miller demanded the yeas and nays;

Lee,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

## AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.
Annan,
Baker,
Barron,

Greene, Hebb, Hopkins, Hopper,

Russell, Sands, Scott, Smith, of Carroll,