Mr. Miller submitted the following amendment:

Sec. 2. Strike out in 1st and 2nd line "each district of the city of Baltimore as hereinbefore provided for," and insert "and the city of Baltimore."

Mr. Barron called the previous question,

The question being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"

Mr. Davis, of Charles, demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Greene,	Pugh,
Goldsborough, P't	Hatch,	Purnell,
Abbott,	Hebb,	Robinette,
Annan,	Hopkins,	Russell,
Audoun,	Hopper,	Schley,
Baker,	Jones, of Cecil,	Smith, of Carroll,
Barron,	Keefer,	Sneary,
Cunningham,	King,	Stirling,
Cushing,	Mullikin,	Swope,
Daniel,	Murray,	Sykes,
Davis, of Wash.,	Negley,	Thomas,
Earle,	Nyman,	Wickard,
Ecker,	Parker,	Wooden -39 .
Galloway,	,	

NEGATIVE.

Duvall,	Marbury,
Edelen,	Mitchell,
Henkle,	Miller,
Hollyday,	Morgan,
	Parran,
	Peter,
	Smith, of Dor.,
	Stockbridge,
Lee,	Wilmer -27 .
	Edelen, Henkle, Hollyday, Horsey, Johnson, Jones, of Som. Lansdale,

So the call for the previous question was sustained;

The question recurring upon the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Miller;

Mr. Miller demanded the yeas and nays,