The question being on the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Chambers,

Mr. Chambers demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Dennis,	Jones of Somerset,
Goldsborough, P't	Duvall,	Miller,
Berry, of P. Geo.,	Farrow,	Morgan,
Blackiston,	Henkle,	Parker,
Briscoe,	Hollyday,	Parran,
Chambers,	Horsey,	Peter—17.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Abbott, Annan, Baker, Barron, Bond, Brown, Clarke, Crawford, Cushing, Dail, Daniel, Davis, of Charles, Davis, of Wash., Earle, Ecker,	Murray, Negley,	Purnell, Ridgely, Robinette, Russell, Schley, Smith, of Carroll, Smith, of Dor., Sneary, Stirling, Stockbridge, Swope, Sykes, Thomas, Todd, Valliant, Wickard, Wilmer,
Edelen, Galloway, Greene,	Negley, Nyman, Pugh,	

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the negative.

Mr. Stockbridge submitted the following amendment:

Sec. 2. Amend by striking out all after the word "respectively," in line 4;

Decided in the negative.

The question then recurring upon the amendment submitted by Mr. Schley:

It was decided in the affirmative.