

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Dail,	Lee,
Abbott,	Davis, of Charles,	Marbury,
Berry, of P. Geo.	Duvall,	Mitchell,
Blackiston,	Edelen,	Miller,
Bond,	Harwood,	Morgan,
Briscoe,	Hollyday,	Parran,
Brown,	Horsey,	Peter,
Carter,	Jones, of Som.,	Smith, of Dor.
Chambers,	King,	Wilmer—28.
Clarke,	Lansdale,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Hebb,	Pugh,
Goldsborough, P't	Hopkins,	Purnell,
Annan,	Hopper,	Ridgely,
Audoun,	Jones, of Cecil,	Russell,
Barron,	Kennard,	Sneary,
Cunningham,	Larsh,	Stirling,
Cushing,	Markey,	Swope,
Dellinger,	McComas,	Sykes,
Earle,	Mullikin,	Thomas,
Ecker,	Murray,	Todd,
Farrow,	Negley,	Valliant,
Galloway,	Nyman,	Wooden—37.
Hatch,	Parker,	

So the Convention refused to adjourn.

The question being on the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Todd,

Mr. Sykes called the previous question ;

The question being,

“Shall the main question be now put?”

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question being on the adoption of the amendment to the amendment, submitted by Mr. Todd ;

Mr. Marbury demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows :