

society of Friends, commonly called Quakers, or persons intermarrying with members of that persuasion, between whom the marriage rites may be celebrated by the Mayor of any city of the State or any Justice of the Peace thereof, or in the manner usually practised by the members of that denomination ;”

Which was rejected.

Mr. Stirling submitted the following amendment :

Strike out all after the word “Assembly” and insert the following:

“Shall provide by law for the registration of births, marriages and deaths, and shall pass laws providing for the celebration of marriage between any inhabitants of this State not prohibited by law from marrying; and shall provide that any persons prevented by conscientious scruples from being married by any of the existing provisions of law, may be married by any Judge or Clerk of any Court of Record of this State.”

The question being on the adoption of the amendment,

Mr. Hebb demanded a division of the subject,

The question then being on the first branch, viz: “Shall provide by law for the registration of births, marriages and deaths, and shall pass laws providing for the celebration of marriage between any inhabitants of this State not prohibited by law from marrying;”

Mr. Daniel demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Hebb,	Purnell,
Goldsborough, Pt.	King,	Smith, of Carroll,
Abbott,	Markey,	Stirling,
Daniel,	Mullikin,	Stockbridge,
Davis, of Wash.,	Nyman,	Swope,
Farrow,	Parker,	Todd—19.
Harwood,		

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Ecker,	Mitchell,
Annan,	Edelen,	Miller,
Belt,	Galloway,	Murray,
Blackiston,	Hollyday,	Negley,