The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Lee, Dent, Belt, Edelen, Mitchell, Bond, Henkle, Miller, Chambers, Hollyday, Morgan, Crawford, Johnson, Parran, Jones, of Som. Dail, Smith, of Dor. - 18. Davis, of Charles,

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Hatch, Pugh, Goldsborough, P't Hebb, Ridgely, Abbott, Hoffman, Russell, Annan, Hopkins, Sands, Hopper, Audoun, Schley, Smith, of Carroll, Barron, Keefer, Brooks, Kennard, Sneary, Stirling, Carter, King, Cunningham, Larsh, Stockbridge, Cushing, Markey, Swope, McComas, Daniel, Sykes, Davis, of Wash., Mullikin, Thomas, Valliant, Earle, Murray, Wickard, Ecker, Negley, Nyman, Galloway, Wooden-46.Greene, Parker,

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the negative.

The question recurring upon the adoption of the 47th section, as reported by the Committee,

Mr. Miller demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Hatch, Pugh, Goldsborough, P't Hebb, Ridgely, Abbott, Hoffman, Russell, Hopkins, Annan, Sands, Audoun, Hopper, Schley,Keefer, Smith, of Carroll, Barron, Brooks, Kennard, Sneary, 37