

The question being on the adoption of the motion to reconsider,

Mr. Jones, of Somerset demanded the yeas and nays ;

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Hebb,	Ridgely,
Goldsborough, P't	Hoffman,	Robinette,
Abbott,	Hopkins,	Russell,
Annan,	Hopper,	Sands,
Baker,	Jones, of Cecil,	Schley,
Barron,	Keefer,	Scott,
Brooks,	King,	Smith, of Carroll,
Cunningham,	Larsh,	Smith, of Wor.
Cushing,	McComas,	Sneary,
Daniel,	Mullikin,	Swope,
Davis, of Wash.,	Murray,	Sykes,
Dellinger,	Negley,	Thomas,
Earle,	Noble,	Todd,
Ecker,	Nyman,	Valliant,
Farrow,	Parker,	Wickard,
Galloway,	Pugh,	Wooden—49.
Hatch,	Purnell,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Belt,	Duvall,	Marbury,
Berry, of P. Geo.,	Edelen,	Mitchell,
Billingsley,	Gale,	Miller,
Blackiston,	Harwood,	Morgan,
Bond,	Henkle,	Parran,
Briscoe,	Hollyday,	Peter,
Brown,	Horsey,	Smith, of Dor.
Chambers,	Jones, of Som.,	Stirling,
Clarke,	Kennard,	Stockbridge,
Crawford,	Lansdale,	Turner,
Dail,	Lee,	Wilmer—35.
Davis, of Charles,	Mace,	

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the affirmative.

The question again recurring upon the adoption of the order to close the debate, &c.,

Mr. Hebb moved to lay the order on the table.