

Audoun,	Hopper,	Schley,
Baker,	Jones, of Cecil,	Schlosser,
Barron,	Keefer,	Scott,
Brooks,	Kennard,	Smith, of Carroll,
Carter,	King,	Smith, of Wor.,
Cunningham,	Markey,	Sneary,
Cushing,	McComas,	Stirling,
Daniel,	Mullikin,	Stockbridge,
Davis, of Wash.,	Murray,	Swope,
Dellinger,	Negley,	Sykes,
Earle,	Noble,	Thomas,
Ecker,	Nyman,	Thruston,
Farrow,	Parker,	Todd,
Galloway,	Pugh,	Valliant,
Greene,	Purnell,	Wickard,
Hatch,	Ridgely,	Wooden—57.

So the question was decided in the negative.

Mr. Belt submitted the following amendments :

Art. 3—Add the words; “And that no right of property, now lawfully held in this State, ought to be destroyed or impaired, without compensation to the owners thereof.”

Mr. Schley called the previous question;

On the question being put, “shall the main question be now put?”

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question being on the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Belt,

Mr. Berry, of Prince George's, demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained, the yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

#### AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Belt,	Dail,	Jones, of Som.
Berry, of P. G.	Davis, of Charles,	Marbury,
Billingsley,	Edelen,	Mitchell,
Blackiston,	Harwood,	Miller,
Bond,	Henkle,	Morgan,
Briscoe,	Hodson,	Parran,
Brown,	Hollyday,	Peter,
Clarke,	Horsey,	Smith, of Dor.
Crawford,	Johnson,	Wilmer—27.