

The question then recurred upon the adoption of the amendment as amended.

After debate thereon,

Mr. Stephenson, moved the previous question, that is

“Shall the main question be now put?”

Mr. Chambers, of Kent, moved the question be taken by yeas and nays, and being ordered, appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE—Messrs. Sellman, Howard, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Dickinson, McCullough, Tuck, Grason, Wright, Gaither, Biser, Sappington, Stephenson, McHenry, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Stewart, of Caroline, Gwinn, Stewart, of Balt. city, Brent, of Balt. city, Sherwood, of Balt. city, Ware, Fiery, Michael Newcomer, Brewer, Anderson, Hollyday, Slicer, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower and Brown—35.

NEGATIVE—Messrs. Chapman, Pres't, Morgan, Blakistone, Hopewell, Ricaud, Chambers, of Kent, Donaldson, Dorsey, Wells, Randall, Bond, Lloyd, John Dennis, Dashiell, Williams, Hodson, Miller, Bowie, Sprigg, McCubbin, Bowling, Dinckson, McMaster, Jacobs, Thomas, Shriver, Davis, Kilgour, Waters and Smith—31.

So the Convention sustained the previous question.

The question was then put,

“Will the Convention accept the substitute as offered by Mr. Thomas, for the 5th section of the report, and amended on the motion of Mr. Bowie?”

Mr. McMaster, moved the question be taken by yeas and nays, and being ordered appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE—Messrs. Sellman, Howard, Chandler, Lloyd, Dickinson, McCullough, Bowie, Bowling, Thomas, Shriver, Gaither, Biser, Sappington, Stephenson, Nelson, Brent, of Balt. city, Michael Newcomer, Brewer, Slicer and Brown—20.

NEGATIVE—Messrs. Chapman, Pres't, Morgan, Blakistone, Hopewell, Ricaud, Chambers, of Kent, Donaldson, Dorsey, Wells, Randall, Bond, Jenifer, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, John Dennis, Dashill, Williams, Hodson, Miller, Tuck, Sprigg, McCubbin, Grason, Wright, Dirickson, McMaster, Jacobs, McHenry, Carter, Thawley, Stewart, of Caroline, Gwinn, Stewart, of Balt. city, Sherwood, of Balt. city, Ware, Fiery, Davis, Kilgour, Waters, Anderson, Hollyday, Fitzpatrick, Smith, Parke and Shower—46.

So the Convention refused to accept the substitute.

The question then recurred on the adoption of the substitute as offered by Mr. Dorsey in place of the 5th section of said report.

On motion of Mr. Dorsey,

The yeas and nays were ordered and appeared as follows :