

Dennis, Fiery, Michael Newcomer, Weber, Hollyday, Slicer and Smith—10.

**NEGATIVE**—Messrs. Chapman, Prest, Morgan, Blakistone, Hopewell, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers of Kent, Mitchell, Donaldson, Wells, Sellman, Weems, Dalrymple, Jenifer, Howard, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Lloyd, Colston, John Dennis, Crisfield, Hicks, Hodson, Eccleston, Phelps, Chambers, of Cecil, Miller, McLane, Bowie, Tuck, McCubbin, Spencer, George, Wright, Dirickson, McMaster, Hearn, Fooks, Jacobs, Thomas, Shriver, Johnson, Gaither, Biser, Sappington, Stephenson, McHenry, Magraw, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Stewart, of Caroline, Hardcastle, Gwinn, Brent of Balt. city, Sherwood of Balt. city, Ware, Kilgour, Brewer, Waters, Anderson, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower, Cockey and Brown—67.

So the Convention refused to accept the substitute.

The question again recurred upon the substitute as offered by Mr. Jenifer.

On the question being put,

“Will the Convention accept said substitute?”

Mr. Jenifer, moved the question be taken by yeas and nays, and being ordered appeared as follows :

**AFFIRMATIVE**.—Messrs. Chapman, Pres't, Morgan, Blakistone, Ricaud, Chambers of Kent, Mitchell, Donaldson, Dorsey, Randall, Kent, Sellman, Jenifer, Bowie, Tuck, McCubbin, Spencer, Wright, Carter, Thawley, Stewart of Caroline, Kilgour, Brewer, Waters and Anderson—24.

**NEGATIVE**.—Messrs. Hopewell, Lee, Wells, Weems, Dalrymple, Bond, Howard, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Lloyd, Colston, John Dennis, James U. Dennis, Crisfield, Hicks, Hodson, Eccleston, Phelps, Chambers, of Cecil, Miller, McLane, George, Dirickson, McMaster, Hearn, Fooks, Jacobs, Thomas, Shriver, Johnson, Gaither, Biser, Sappington, Stephenson, McHenry, Magraw, Nelson, Gwinn, Ware, Fiery, Michael Newcomer, Weber, Hollyday, Slicer, Fitzpatrick, Smith, Parke, Shower Cockey and Brown—51.

So the Convention refused to accept the substitute.

The question then recurred upon the amendment as offered by Mr. Johnson, for the second section of the report.

Mr. Johnson, moved to amend said amendment by striking out in the 1st line thereof “seventy-five.” and in the 15th line by striking out after “Baltimore city,” the word “ten;”

Mr. Shriver, moved for a division of the question upon the first branch of said amendment, down, to the “proviso” in the 22nd line.

Mr. Stephenson, renewed the notice of his intention to move a reconsideration of the amendment offered by him on the 28th