

“Shall the main question be now put?” and it was
Determined in the affirmative.

The question was then put on the adoption of the substitute;

Mr. McHenry, moved the question be taken by yeas and nays,
and being ordered appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE—Messrs. Chapman, Pres't, Blakistone, Ricaud,
Lee, Chambers, of Kent, Donaldson, Dorsey, Wells, Kent,
Merrick, Jenifer, Williams, Goldsborough, Sprigg, McCubbin,
Waters and Smith—17.

NEGATIVE—Messrs. Dent, Sellman, Weems, Buchanan, Bell,
Ridgely, Lloyd, Dickinson, Sherwood, of Talbot, Colston, John
Dennis, James U. Dennis, Hodson, Phelps, Constable, Chambers,
of Cecil, Miller, Spencer, Grason, George, Wright, Jacobs,
Thomas, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Sappington, McHenry, Magiaw,
Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Stewart, of Caroline, Gwinn, Stewart,
of Baltimore city, Sherwood, of Baltimore city, Ware, Schley,
Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer,
Kilgour, Brewer, Weber, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower and
Brown—50.

So the Convention refused to accept the substitute as offered by
Mr. Donaldson.

The question then recurred upon the adoption of the substitute,
as offered by Mr. George, in place of the 21st section;

Mr. Stewart, of Balt. city, moved the question be taken by yeas
and nays, and being ordered;

Mr. Donaldson, moved for a division of the question upon each
article of said amendment.

The yeas and nays were then taken on the 1st article of said
amendment, and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Chapman, Pres't, Blakistone, Dent,
Hopewell, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers, of Kent, Donaldson, Dorsey,
Wells, Kent, Sellman, Weems, Jenifer, Buchanan, Bell, Ridgely,
Lloyd, Dickinson, Sherwood, of Talbot, Colston, John Dennis,
James U. Dennis, Williams, Hodson, Goldsborough, Phelps,
Chambers of Cecil, Miller, McCubbin, Spencer, Grason, George,
Wright, Thomas, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Sappington, McHenry,
Magraw, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Stewart, of Caroline, Gwinn,
Stewart, of Balt. city, Sherwood, of Balt. city, Ware, Schley,
Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Kil-
gour, Brewer, Waters, Weber, Fitzpatrick, Smith, Parke, Shower,
and Brown—64.

NEGATIVE—Messrs. Merrick, Constable and Sprigg—3.

So the 1st article of the amendment was adopted.

The question then recurred upon the 2nd branch of the amend-
ment, being the 2nd article.

Mr. Stewart, of Balt. city, moved for a division of the question