

LAWS OF MARYLAND.

CHAPTER 23.

AN ACT to repeal Chapter four hundred and seven, of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of eighteen hundred and ninety-eight, entitled an "Act to add an additional section to Article 38 of the Code of Public General Laws, entitled 'Fines and Forfeitures,'" to follow Section three, to be known as Section four.

Repeal Act
entitled Fines
and Forfeitures.

Counties ex-
empted.

SECTION 1. *Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Maryland,* That Chapter four hundred and seven, of the General Assembly of Maryland of eighteen hundred and ninety-eight, entitled an "Act to add an additional section to Article 38 of the Code of Public General Laws, entitled 'Fines and Forfeitures,'" to follow Section three, and to be known as Section four, be and the same is hereby repealed; provided that the provisions of this Act shall not apply to Washington, Allegany, Garrett, Harford, Charles, Calvert, Kent, Baltimore, St. Mary's, Dorchester, Prince George's, Carroll, Somerset, Wicomico, Frederick, Talbot, Worcester and Howard Counties.

SEC. 2. *And be it further enacted,* That this Act shall take effect from the date of its passage.

Approved March 1, 1900,

CHAPTER 24.

AN ACT to authorize and direct the Comptroller of the State Treasury and the Comptroller of Baltimore City, respectively, to refund to Philip Lobe and Henry Rosenheim & Sons money erroneously paid into the treasuries, respectively, of said State and municipality.

Refund sum of
money to
Philip Lobe
and Henry Ro-
senheim & Sons

WHEREAS, In the year 1890 the Liquor License Commissioners of Baltimore City received from Philip Lobe the sum of five hundred dollars for liquor licenses, and in years 1890, 1891 and 1892 received from Henry Rosenheim & Sons the sum of fifteen hundred dollars for similar licenses under a misconstruction of the law; and

Amount due
by said parties

WHEREAS, The amount due by said parties was only one-half of the amounts so paid by them as was decided by the Court of Appeals of Maryland in the case of the State of Maryland vs. Steifel, the said decision being reported in the Maryland Reports, Vol. 74; and