

each come within the classes of creditors mentioned herein and for whose benefit the said Act of 1896, is hereby extended, and the clerk of the said Court is hereby required and directed to give notice of the provisions of this Act, and of the date fixed for filing claims by weekly publications in each county in this State through which said canal passes, prior to the first day of July, 1900, the cost of said publication to be paid as the said court may direct and an assignee of any such claim shall be entitled to the benefits of this Act upon proofs of such claim as required of the creditor and also of the due assignment thereof.

“Sec. 3. And be it enacted, That this Act shall take effect from the date of its passage.”

Which proposed amendment was adopted, and

The bill was read the second time and ordered to be engrossed for a third reading.

ORDER OF THE DAY.

The hour of 8.30 P. M. having arrived,

The Senate considered the Order of the Day being,

Senate bill entitled “An Act to repeal section 679, of the Acts of 1898, chapter 123, entitled ‘City of Baltimore,’ sub-title ‘Miscellaneous Local Laws,’ and to re-enact said section with amendments.”

The question recurred upon whether or not the bill should be substituted for the unfavorable report by the Committee on Finance.

Which was decided in the negative by yeas and nays as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Bryan,
Moses,

Putzel—3.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. President,
Baker,
Beasman,
Betts,
Bouic,
Brewington,
Crothers,

Kirwan,
Marsh,
Messick,
Moore,
Peter,
Ravenscroft,
Rohrback,