

AMENDMENT PROPOSED.

After the word "help," in line 6 of section 26, add "provided that this Act shall not apply to Washington county."

Which amendment was rejected.

The question then recurring upon the passage of the bill,

The yeas and nays was called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Speaker,	Lakin,	Real,
King,	Mitchell,	Johnson,
Stewart,	Proctor,	Staley,
Collins,	Hopkins,	Clark,
Dudley, of Tal.,	Foster,	Deets,
Corkran,	Dunn,	Hutton,
Pattison,	Knott,	Norris, of Car.,
Linthicum,	Lehmayer,	Walsh,
Mackie,	Latrobe,	Wolfe,
Kimble,	Martenet,	Waller,
Norman,	Siegmund,	Roberts,
Anderson, of Wor.,	Cover,	Bennett—37.
Waters,		

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Garner,	Dryden,	Bramble,
Kendall,	Disharoon,	Sanford,
Norris, of Kent,	Merrick,	Curtis,
Krems,	Perkins,	Long,
Feldmeyer,	Dudley, of Q. A.,	Biggs,
Simmons,	Buckey,	Frenzel,
Gibson,	Brown, of Fred.,	Radcliffe,
Lancaster,	Satterfield,	Close,
Truitt, of Bal. co.,	Lednum,	Critchfield,
Mann,	Scrimger,	Graeff,
Sandman,	Gately,	Anderson, of Car.,
Jeffers,	Brown, of Bal. city,	Wilson,
Ward,	Mencke,	Finzel—39.

The bill therefore failed for want of a constitutional majority.