

Q. Do you have any information on how well Wallace will or will not do in Maryland?

A. I don't think he's going to do nearly as well as most people think he is.

Q. You've probably been asked this before, but in a Nixon-Humphrey race whom do you think he would hurt?

A. I would have to guess that probably he would hurt Nixon more than he would Humphrey. On the other hand I don't think the degree — the severity — of the hurt is as bad as most Republicans would think, because there are still a lot of Democrats around who just can't bear to pull a Republican lever; and they may go with Humphrey given the escape valve of Wallace. They may go there, if they don't agree with Humphrey's policies.

Q. Do you think he might hurt Nixon more because they are more ideologically comfortable together?

A. No, I think that much of the written opinion today attempts to portray them that way and some of the public are blasé enough to accept it.

Q. Do you think Mr. Nixon's positions or Mr. Humphrey's are closer to Governor Wallace?

A. I think you'd have to ask me issue by issue, Mr. Weiss. You know this question of broad philosophy, over-simplification —

Q. On racial matters.

A. On racial matters. Again I think you have to get more specific than saying racial matters. What part of racial matters?

Q. Open housing.

A. I would say that Mr. Nixon is certainly not against open housing from my conversations with him, so I'd have to say they are about equal on that one.

Q. Job opportunity?

A. That's the same. I don't see any difference there either.

Q. Generosity of welfare grants?

A. Now let's regroup that word a minute. Generosity or waste?

Q. Well that's up to you, sir.

A. It's an impossible question to cope with, because you can talk in two different contexts. What some might call generous other people would call outrageously frugal and others would call waste, so I don't know what you mean by that.