

A. I didn't say anything about the bridge cost. He's got me mixed up with Mr. Wolff apparently. And I try to avoid entanglements with Mr. Long about State matters because I find that it's sort of a one-sided proposition where I have the responsibility for performance and he has none. And he has all the ideas and he thinks I have none. So, I'm not going to get into any quarrels with Mr. Long about State matters. I wish he would develop a little better feeling of responsibility to some of the Federal matters and stop jumping around on some of the important issues like the Federal surtax and things like that. But as far as the Goldstein conversation with me, I think Mr. Goldstein and I laid this matter pretty much to rest at that time. We're not interested in a continuation of a dispute over who is to blame. I'm pretty well convinced, based on the reports that have gone out, that the mistake came out of a misestimation of the local share of the revenues, and Mr. Goldstein, of course, is the chief of the Board of Revenue Estimates. This doesn't mean that somebody else on the Board of Revenue Estimates shouldn't have discovered this as well as Mr. Goldstein.

(Senator Bishop and Rosewood)

Q. Do you still look upon Senator Bishop as a possible candidate for the House of Representatives?

A. Well, I don't know whether Mr. Bishop is going to run or whether he isn't. He hasn't indicated to me any interest recently in running for Congress. But when I read the story on the Rosewood school, it sounded like a candidate kind of story to me, particularly when he is suggesting a shift of dedicated roads monies into some other area. Now Senator Bishop knows as well as I do that those monies are dedicated and can't be shifted around on General Fund expense, but I can't blame the Senator — if this is truly a vehicle to launch a candidacy — for saying that.

(Poor People's March, Resumed)

Q. Governor, you indicated earlier that many or all of the people at Resurrection City in Washington are lobbyists. Do you see anything wrong with the lobbying for poor people?

A. Not if it's a frank lobby, or the kind that they have here in Annapolis when they register and admit they're getting paid well for being advocates, and that they're not living examples of the conditions that they are attempting to portray. But I think there is something a little incongruous about people who are relatively affluent living in