

shall thereby become a part of said budget bill." Now, I want to say one other thing and I think this is most important. We all have a very difficult job in government. And when I say we all, I mean the executive, and the legislative and the fourth estate, the press. Probably your job is one of the most pressing because you have time limitations and space limitations. But when you do err, such as was done here, I think it is only fair and I think it is the responsibility of the press to correct that error by just as much publicity, just as big a headline on the correction, as you have given the public the impression the wrong constitutional question was. To make myself a little more clear, the public is undoubtedly going to be somewhat shaken in their confidence as to the chief executive, and while I am a Democrat and not averse to shaking a Republican political image, it's wrong to shake the confidence of the Governor before the public with that type of a headline; and while I am a Democrat, again I came here to ask the press to do what they can to clarify this issue.

Governor: Mr. Lowe, let me express my appreciation for what you've said and let me add just one thing. There seems to have been more than the usual amount of this type of distortion occurring during the past months. Just yesterday, a very fine delegate, Mr. Richard Rynd, was assaulted in his character by representations and allusions and innuendo, that led to the conclusion that he was operating his nursing homes in such a fashion that the operation was a conflict of interest with his State responsibilities. I happen to know Mr. Rynd personally. He is a member of the opposition party, just as Mr. Lowe is, but I cannot allow these inferences to go unchallenged. There isn't any doubt that Mr. Rynd did the State a public service by taking these indigent cases in his nursing home. There is no doubt that more money could have been made by taking solvent cases, and I'd just like to say that this kind of thing really is getting out of hand and it gives us all a great deal of concern.

Q. Governor, there is one section in the Attorney General's opinion which is rather confusing. That deals with the tuition waiver program. The opinion, as I read it, says that you don't have to include the funds for that program in your budget, but nevertheless the State colleges would be required to provide free tuition for the students who plan to teach for two years after graduation. I'm just curious as to how they would get this money if it's not authorized in the budget.

A. I don't want to answer what the Attorney General had in mind, and questions about the interpretations of the opinion are best left to him. But let me point out one thing that has been public knowl-