

A. No. I am not saying that. The new studies obviously have to do with the Chesapeake Bay crossings. I don't think there are any studies planned that concern themselves with the tunnel or the Harbor crossing at the moment. We're pretty well convinced, based on a recent evaluation of the traffic flows in the Baltimore area — the Kennedy traffic evaluations — that we've got to do something about a Harbor crossing fairly quickly. And I wish it could be a crossing and not a tunnel. But it doesn't seem like that's in the cards.

Q. Governor, several City legislators of Baltimore suggested that writing the parallel bridge into the bill would be a slap in the face for the voters who, in the referendum, rejected the parallel crossing. What's your reaction to that?

A. Well, I don't think there is any slap in the face at the voters because I have already said there's been no determination to go ahead with the parallel bridge at this time, and it may well be that we won't go ahead with it. Now, because a referendum brought about a certain judgment as to leaving to the experts when these things are to take place, I don't think that because someone voted against a particular project going forward at one time, that project is forever barred from being attempted, because these things change from day to day.

Q. Governor another subject, are you in favor of the move to have Maryland rescind her endorsement of a new national constitutional convention?

A. Yes, I am in favor of it and I am in favor of the rescision. I've always believed in reapportionment, in one man one vote. This has been stated publicly by me for many years, and I think the record of accomplishment of the current Legislature bears out the fact that reapportioned bodies do operate effectively for the entire State.

Q. Governor, the teachers' representation bill is coming up before the Senate this afternoon, which involves the Maryland State Teachers' Association and the Baltimore Public School Teachers' Association. If the bill passes with the no strike clause amendment and without the referendum amendment, would you sign it?

A. Well, I want to look at the bill from this standpoint: I have some misgivings about the designation of a representative through the simple expedient of signing of membership card. And my preliminary judgment is that I would not approve a bill which simply decides which collective bargaining group has the majority on the basis of signed membership cards. It's too easy to sign a card when you're