

Garret Sennotts Cer: 150 in Cha: Co^{ty} Acres Called S^t Peters Dated 11th Dec^r 1663 the pattend thereon Granted said Sennott the 24th May 1664. Is Marginted as above in Lib: A A fo: 528. Test J Lawson Reg^r

John Wards Certif: 150 Acres in Cha: Co^{ty} Called Pomphrett Dated Sep^r 29th 1666 the patent thereon Granted said Ward the 7th March 1667. Is Marginted as above in Lib G G foł: 233. Test J Lawson Reg^r

And Now here this Day To Witt on the Second Tuesday of Aprill being the Eleventh Day of the same month Anno Dom: Seventeen Hundred and Twenty Seven Comes the said Thomas Nelson lessee of the said John Diggs into his Lordships High Court of Appeals and Errors by Edmond Jnnings [sic] his Attorney and Saith that in the Record an Process aforesaid As also in Rendering the judgment afores^d it is Manifestly Erred in this Viz^t: That by the Record aforesaid it Appears that the judgment rendered was rendered for the Said Jn^o Beale against [796] The said John Diggs his Lessee aforesaid Whereas by the Law of the Land the Judgment afores^d Ought to have been Rendered for the Lessee of the said John Diggs against the said John Beale and not for the said John Beale against the Lessee aforesaid. And the said Edmond Jennings Prays his Lordships Writt to forewarn the said John Beale to be before his Lordships Governour and Council at Annapolis to hear the Record and Process afores^d And it is Granted unto him Therefore Command is given to the sherriff of Charles County that he Should Make known to the said John Beale that He Should be and Appeare in the said High Court of Appeals to be held at the City of Annapolis the Second tuesday of July then Next to hear the Errors Assigned Upon the judgment afores^d if to him it should Seem Meet And the same Day is Given to the Lessee of the said Jn^o Diggs Also. At Which said Second Tuesday of July Anno Dom: Seventeen Hundred and twenty Seven Before his Lordships High Court or Appeals afores^d at the City of Annapolis Afores^d Come as well the Said Thomas Nelson lessee of the said John Diggs by his attorney afores^d As the afs^d John Beale by William Beckinghame his Attorney And the sherriff afores^d Did not Send the Writt And thereupon the said Thomas Nelson lessee afores^d as before sayth That in the Record and Process afores^d as also in rendering the judgment afores^d It is Manifestly Erred Alledging the Errors Afores^d by him the said Thomas Nelson in form afores^d above alledged And Prays that the judgment afores^d for the Errors and others in the Record and Process afores^d Being May be reversed Annulled And Altogether held for None and that he to all things Which by Occasion of the judgment afores^d hath lost may be Restored And that the said John Beale to the Errors afores^d Rejoyne And that his said Lordships Court of Appeals and Errors here Will Proceed to the Examination of As well the Record and Process afores^d as of the Matters afores^d Above for Errors assigned And the said John Beale sayth that Neither in the record and Process afores^d Nor in the Matters therein Contained: Nor in Rendring the judgment afs^d it is in Anywise Erred And Likewise Prays