We find that the S<sup>a</sup> Grantee John Cane Assigned the Said Land to John Godshall in these words following

I underwritten do Assigne and make over unto John Godshall of Charles County his Heirs Ex<sup>15</sup> Adm<sup>15</sup> and Assignes all my Right Title and Interest of the within mentioned patent [738] As Wittness my hand and Seale this Twelfth Day of Nov<sup>1</sup> Annoque Dom 1683.

Wittness Rando Brant Wm Thompson of John X mark Cane [Seale]

We find that John Godshall was possessed of the Said Land called Saint Bridgett Thirty two years Since and Continued in the Quiet and Uninterrupted possession thereof Untill the 23d Day of Febry Anno Dom 1710 at Which time the Said John Godshall by Deed of Bargain and Sale Indented Duely Executed and Recorded According to the Directions of the Act of Assembly in Such Cases Provided Sold and provided the Same Land to the Said Pryor Smallwood in fee Simple by Vertue Whereof the Said Pryor Entered into the Said Land and was thereof possessed and Continued in the peaceable and Uninterrupted possession thereof Untill the Ninth Day of August Seventeen hundred and Twenty At Which time the Said Pryor Smallwood Sold and Conveyed the Said Land to the Said Daniel Bryon As by the Dc<sup>1</sup> afd is Set forth We find that the Distance between the first and Second Bound trees of the Said Land Called St Bridgetts is but three hundred and Sixty perches instead of five hundred perches mentioned in the Grant thereof that the Breadth of the Sa Land is Ninety Two perches instead of Eighty and that the Bounds thereof Contain no more than Two hundred and Seven Acres instead of Two hundred and fifty. We find the Deft before the Sale of the Said Land Called St Bridgetts to the plft caused the first Line of the Said Land to be run reverse And that the Same Consisting of 500 Perches ran into the Land Claymed by Wm Hoskins wen the Said Wm Hoskins had a Verdict and Judgmt for in an Action of Tresspass and Ejectmt. We find that Thomas Sanders Sen<sup>r</sup> and Nath<sup>1</sup> Magruder Jun<sup>r</sup> are Heirs at Law to John Cane the Grantee of the St Land and that they are in full Life

And if upon the whole matter the Law be with the plf<sup>t</sup> We find for him and Assess Damages to £120..00..00.. Ster<sup>t</sup> and  $5670^{1b}$  of Tobacco but if the Law w<sup>th</sup> the Def<sup>t</sup> We find for the Def<sup>t</sup> and pray etc.

After the Speciall Verdict Agreed upon the Council for the Plf<sup>t</sup> offered to the Jury in Agravation of Damages the Cost of an Action Commenced by him Ag<sup>t</sup> W<sup>m</sup> Hoskins and Insists that the Jury Ought to take the Same into their Consideration and Assess the Same in Damages upon the present issue. Whereupon the Council for the Def<sup>t</sup> insists that it appears by the proceedings that the Recovery between the now plf<sup>t</sup> and W<sup>m</sup> Hoskins in the plf<sup>ts</sup> Declaration mentioned is not now in issue before the Jury nor is yet Determined but under the Consideration of the Prov<sup>1</sup> Court And that if the Jury Should Assess the Said Costs in Damages And that the Defend<sup>t</sup> upon the Argument of the issue upon the Record of the Recovery between Bryon and Hoskins