Gilbert Powlson and Thomas Bordley Commanding them at a Certain Day and under a Certain pain therein to be Limitted to be and appear before your Honour in this Honble Court to answer the premisses and Injunction to be Directed to the S<sup>d</sup> Thomas Bordley his Counsellors Attorneys Solicitors and Agents Enjoyning and Strictly Commanding them and every of them to Stay and Surcease all further proceedings at Law Ag<sup>t</sup> your Orator the S<sup>d</sup> Thomas Cockey Robert Gordon W<sup>m</sup> Rogers and Mary his Wife on any bond protested bill of Exchange or other Writeing or Instrument herein before mentioned untill your Orator Shall be heard before your Honour in this Honble Court

## And he Will pray etc.

D: Dulany

Thereupon the 18th day of May Annoque Dom 1725 afd an Injunction was granted upon giveing good Security but afterwds (To Witt) the Same day and before good Security given or the Injunction Served the Defendant desired to be heard thereto by the following Caveat (Vizt)

Sir I pray you will enter Caveat Against the granting any Injunction Against me at the request of Thomas Cockey [692] and his Sureties untill I be heard in Relation thereto this is According to practice and I'm told they Intend to put me off with Slender Sureties

To the Reg<sup>r</sup> in Chancery.

Y' Serv<sup>t</sup> Th Bordley.

Which motion for Inj<sup>o</sup> appeared to his Honour the Chancellor to have been made Without giveing the Defendant Notice thereof.

Whereupon the 21st day of the Same Month on a full hearing of the Councill on both Sides it was the Opinion of his Honour That there was no Cause for Continuing the Injo And Thereupon ordered the Same to be Dissolved. Afterwas To Witt the 21st day of July Anno Dom 1725 Came Thomas Bordley the Defendant in his proper person and Appeared to the bill afa and Thereupon the Sa Complainant (being a Non Resident) at the prayer of the Defendant is adjudged to give Security for Costs According to Act of Assembly

Thereupon Daniel Dulany Esq<sup>r</sup> of Councell with the S<sup>d</sup> Complainant Enters into Recognizance in the Sume of one hundred pounds Sterling for the payment of the S<sup>d</sup> Action in Case the Complainant Shall not prosecute his Bill With Effect or that the Complainant Shall not obtain a Decree of the Said Court to the Contrary

And Thereupon the Said Thomas Bordley Exhibited to the Said Court his Demurrer and answer to the afa Bill of Complaint Which follows in these Words Viz<sup>t</sup>

The Demurrer and answer of Thomas Bordley one of the Defendants to the Bill of Complaint of Jonathan Forward Complainant.

This Defendant by protestation Saith That the S<sup>d</sup> Bill of Complaint is very Insufficient in the Law to be answered unto and Such as this Defendant