

Dated the Same Twenty Seventh Day of May Anno Dom Seventeen hundred and Twenty four af<sup>d</sup> drawn by the af<sup>d</sup> Thomas Cockey upon M<sup>r</sup> Jonathan Forward Merchant in London payable to the Said Thomas Bordley for the Sum of Seven hundred and Twenty Pounds Ster<sup>t</sup> And that the Said Jonathan Forward Afterw<sup>as</sup> To Witt the Twenty fifth Day of August Anno Dom Seventeen hundred and Twenty four at London To Witt at Annarundell County af<sup>d</sup> was Demanded by William Hunt the order of the Said Thomas Bordley for the use of the Said Thomas Bordley According to the use of Merchants to Pay the first of the Said Bills of Exchange the Second third and fourth of the Same bills not being then nor at any other Time paid And the Said Jonathan Forward haveing before that Time had thirty Days Sight thereof Nevertheless the Said Jonathan Forward the Said first Bill or any one of the Said Bills or the Sume of Seven hundred and Twenty Pounds therein mentioned hath not at any Time paid to the Said Thomas Bordley or unto his order According to the Tenour of the bills of Exchange af<sup>d</sup> nor Otherwise And this he is ready to Verifie Wherefore he prays Judgment And his Debt af<sup>d</sup> together with his Damages by Occasion of Detaining the Same Debt to him to be Adjudged etc.

And the Said William Rogers Saith that the plea af<sup>d</sup> of the Said Thomas Bordley by Replication above pleaded and the matter therein Contained are not Sufficient in Law to Mantain the Action af<sup>d</sup> or to Compell him the Said William Rogers to answer or Rejoyn thereto And that he to that Plea hath no need nor by the Law of the Land is held to answer or Rejoyn And this he is ready to Verifie Wherefore for Want of a Sufficient Replication in this part the Said William as before Prays Judgment that the Said Thomas from haveing or mantaining his Action af<sup>d</sup> Ag<sup>t</sup> him be precluded

And the af<sup>d</sup> Thomas Bordley for that he hath above alledged Sufficient Matter in Law in his Replication af<sup>d</sup> his Action af<sup>d</sup> ag<sup>t</sup> him the Said William Rogers to have and mantain which he is [sic] to Verifie which Matter the Said William hath not Gainsayed nor to the Same in any wise Answered but the Same Verification to admitt doth altogether refuse the Said Thomas Bordley as before Prays Judgment And his Debt af<sup>d</sup> together with his Damages by Occasion of Detaining the Same Debt to him to be adjudged

Whereupon all and Singular the premisses being by the Court here Seen heard and fully Understood and Mature deliberation being thereupon had for that it Seems to the [677] Court here that the plea af<sup>d</sup> by the af<sup>d</sup> Thomas Bordley above by Replication pleaded and the Matter in the Same Contained are good and Sufficient in Law for his Action af<sup>d</sup> Ag<sup>t</sup> him the Said William Rogers to have and mantain

Therefore it is Considered by the Justices here the 19<sup>th</sup> Day of October Anno Dom 1725 af<sup>d</sup> that the Said Thomas Bordley recover Ag<sup>t</sup> the Said Thomas Cockey as well the Sum of Fourteen hundred and forty Pounds Ster<sup>t</sup>